1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	No. C70-9213 Subproceeding No. 01-1
11	Plaintiffs,	(Culverts)
12	v.	PERMANENT INJUNCTION REGARDING CULVERT
13	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	CORRECTION
14	Defendants.	
15	This matter came before the Court for trial beginning on October 13, 2009, for the purpose	
16	of determining the appropriate remedy for the violation by the defendants of certain of the Plaintiff	
17	Tribes' rights under treaties between the Tribes and the United States. By amended order dated	
18	August 23, 2007, the Court has ruled that the State of Washington has built and currently operates	
19	stream culverts that block fish passage to and from the Tribes' usual and accustomed fishing places	
20	depriving the Tribes of the fishing rights reserved in the treaties. The Court has carefully and fully	
21		
22		
23		
24	applicable law, and on March 29, 2013 entered Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Based	
25 26	upon the foregoing, it is hereby:	

Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the State of Washington, the Washington State

Department of Transportation (WSDOT), the Washington State Department of Fisheries and

Wildlife (WDFW), the Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR), and the

Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission (State Parks), their agents, officers,

employees, successors in interest, and all persons acting in concert or participation with any of them

(Defendants), are permanently enjoined and restrained to obey, to respect, and to comply with all

rulings of this Court in this subproceeding and with each provision of this injunction, subject only

to such modifications as may be approved by the Court in the future.

- 1. As used in this injunction, the word "culvert" shall mean any structure, other than a full-span bridge or tide gate, that is constructed to convey water beneath a roadway, and shall also include associated fishways or other fish passage structures, and bridges built to replace any culvert that is subject to this injunction. The word "salmon" shall mean any of the six species of anadromous salmonids of the genus Oncorhynchus, commonly known as chinook, chum, coho, pink, and sockeye salmon, and steelhead.
- 2. Within six months of the date of this injunction, the Defendants, in consultation with the Plaintiff Tribes and the United States, shall prepare a current list, or lists if different by agency (the List), of all culverts under state-owned roads within the Case Area existing as of the date of this injunction, that are salmon barriers. In compiling the List, the Defendants shall use the barrier assessment methodologies in the Fish Passage Barrier and Surface Water Diversion Screening Assessment and Prioritization Manual (WDFW 2000) (WDFW Assessment Manual).
- 3. In addition to compiling the List, the Defendants shall make ongoing efforts to assess and identify culverts under state-owned roads in the Case Area that become partial or full barriers to salmon passage after the entry of this Injunction, using the WDFW Assessment Manual or any later

PERMANENT INJUNCTION
REGARDING CULVERT CORRECTION
No. C70-9213, SUBPROCEEDING 01-1
(CULVERTS)

state barrier assessment standards, provided such standards are consistent with the terms of this injunction.

- 4. Any new culvert constructed by the Defendants in the future on salmon waters within the Case Area and any future construction to provide fish passage at State barrier culverts on such waters shall be done in compliance with the standards set out in this injunction.
- 5. By October 31, 2016, WDFW, DNR, and State Parks shall provide fish passage in accordance with the standards set out in this injunction at each barrier culvert on the List located on lands owned or managed by those agencies in the Case Area.
- 6. Within 17 years of the date of this injunction, WSDOT shall provide fish passage in accordance with the standards set out in this injunction at each barrier culvert on the List owned or managed by WSDOT if the barrier culvert has 200 lineal meters or more of salmon habitat upstream to the first natural passage barrier.
- 7. WSDOT shall provide fish passage in accordance with the standards set out in this injunction at each culvert on the List having less than 200 lineal meters of upstream salmon habitat at the end of the culvert's useful life, or sooner as part of a highway project, to the extent required by other applicable law.
- 8. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 6, above, WSDOT may defer correction of an aggregation of culverts that cumulatively comprise barriers to no more than 10 % of the total salmon habitat upstream of those WSDOT culverts that would otherwise be subject to correction on the schedule set forth in Paragraph 6, but only upon fulfillment of the following conditions: In consultation with the Plaintiff Tribes and the United States, the Defendants shall develop and complete an assessment of the amount of salmon habitat upstream of each WSDOT barrier culvert on the List for which a "full physical survey," as described in § 3.4 of the WDFW Assessment

Manual, has not been completed as of the date the List is compiled. In conducting the assessment, the Defendants shall use the full physical survey methodology or such other methodology as the parties may agree upon. Each correction deferred by this provision shall be corrected to the standards of this injunction at the end of the culvert's useful life, or sooner as part of a highway project, to the extent required by other applicable law. In undertaking the corrections, the Defendants shall be guided by the principle of providing the greatest fisheries habitat gain at the earliest time. The Defendants may utilize the "Priority Index" methodology described in the WDFW Assessment Manual in determining the sequence of correction if they so desire.

- 9. In carrying out their duties under this injunction, the Defendants shall design and build fish passage at each barrier culvert on the List in order to pass all species of salmon at all life stages at all flows where the fish would naturally seek passage. In order of preference, fish passage shall be achieved by (a) avoiding the necessity for the roadway to cross the stream, (b) use of a full span bridge, (c) use of the "stream simulation" methodology described in *Design of Road Culverts for Fish Passage* (WDFW, 2003) or *Stream Simulation: An Ecological Approach to Providing Passage for Aquatic Organisms at Road-Stream Crossings* (U.S. Forest Service, May 2008), which the parties to this proceeding have agreed represents best science currently available for designing culverts that provide fish passage and allow fluvial processes. Nothing in this injunction shall prevent the Defendants from developing and using designs other than bridges or stream simulation in the future if the Defendants can demonstrate that those future designs provide equivalent or better fish passage and fisheries habitat benefits than the designs required in this injunction.
- 10. In rare circumstances, Defendants may deviate from the design standards in paragraph 9, above, if they can establish or the parties agree that use of the standards required in paragraph 9 is not feasible because of: (a) an emergency involving an immediate threat to life, the public,

property, or of environmental degradation, and a correction using the required design standards cannot be implemented in time to forestall that threat; or (b) the existence of extraordinary site conditions. If a design standard other than that specified in paragraph 9 is used, in addition to providing the best feasible fish passage at the barrier site, the Defendants shall mitigate for the impacts of deviating from the standards of this injunction so that the resulting correction plus any mitigation provides at least the same net benefit to the salmon resource as would have occurred had the correction applied the required standards.

- 11. The Defendants shall provide fish passage in accordance with the standards set out in this injunction within a reasonable period of time: (a) when any culvert corrected under the injunction remains a barrier culvert after attempted correction, or again becomes a barrier culvert following an initially successful correction, or (b) when any culvert is newly identified as a salmon barrier culvert after the initial completion of the List.
- 12. The Defendants shall monitor their implementation of the injunction, and evaluate whether their efforts to provide fish passage at their salmon barrier culverts are effective in meeting the standards of this injunction. The Defendants shall take reasonable steps to maintain their culverts in such a manner as to prevent development of fish barriers and to protect salmon habitat.
- 13. The Defendants shall provide the interested Tribes with sufficient notice of State barrier culvert inventory, identification of previously unidentified State barrier culverts, assessment, and potential or actual State barrier culvert correction activities to permit the Tribes to monitor and provide effective recommendations for compliance with the requirements of this injunction.
- 14. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction over this subproceeding for a sufficient period to assure that the Defendants comply with the terms of this injunction.

1	
2	Respectfully submitted this 29 th day of January, 2010.
3	PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR TRIBES
4	By: s/ JOHN C. SLEDD, WSBA # 19270
5	Attorney for the Hoh, Jamestown S'Klallam, Lower Elwha Klallam, Nisqually, Port Gamble
6	S'Klallam, Sauk-Suiattle, Skokomish, Squaxin Island, Stillaguamish and Suquamish Tribes
7	By: s/ LAURA SAGOLLA, Admitted Pro Hac Vice
8	Attorney for the Hoh, Jamestown S'Klallam, Lower Elwha Klallam, Nisqually, Port Gamble
9	S'Klallam, Sauk-Suiattle, Skokomish, Squaxin
10	Island, Stillaguamish and Suquamish Tribes
11	By: s/ ALAN C. STAY, WSBA # 4569 Attorney for the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
12	By: s/ MASON D. MORISSET, WSBA # 273
13	Attorney for The Tulalip Tribes
14	By: s/ DANIEL A. RAAS, WSBA # 4970
15	Attorney for the Lummi Nation
16	By: s/ HARRY L. JOHNSEN, WSBA # 4955 Attorney for the Lummi Nation
17	By: s/ THOMAS ZEILMAN, WSBA # 28470
18	Attorney for the Yakama Nation
19	By: s/ LAUREN P. RASMUSSEN, WSBA #33256
20	Attorney for the Jamestown S'Klallam and Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribes
21	By: s/ ALIX FOSTER, WSBA # 4943 Attorney for the Swinomish Indian Tribal Community
22	
23	By: s/ EDWARD WURTZ, WSBA # 24741 Attorney for the Nooksack Tribe
24	By: s/ BRIAN GRUBER, WSBA # 32210
25	Attorney for the Makah Tribe
26	By: s/ SAMUEL J. STILTNER, WSBA # 7765

1	Attorney for the Puyallup Tribe	
2 3	By: s/ HAROLD CHESNIN, WSBA # 398 Attorney for the Upper Skagit Tribe	
4	By: s/O. YALE LEWIS III, WSBA # 33768 Attorney for the Quileute Tribe	
56	By: s/ ERIC J.NIELSEN, WSBA # 12773 Attorney for the Quinault Indian Nation	
7	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
89	By: <u>s/ PETER C. MONSON</u> United States Department of Justice	
10		
11		
12	<u>ORDER</u>	
13		
14	Is it is so ORDERED this 29 th day of March 2013.	
15		
16		
17		
18 19	RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
24 25		